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Introduction

This is a book of mini-essays written from the perspective of pure liberalism. Philosophically and culturally, I consider myself to be a pure liberal -- the only one on this earth. I seem to be the primogeniture of this new and perfected version of classical liberalism.

It isn't that I claim to know everything about this new intellectual vista. Quite the contrary. But currently, I'm evidently the only one who is fully aware that pure liberalism even exists.

Pure liberalism -- in many regards -- is nothing more than simple reason, systematic thought, careful logic, common sense, and obvious, undeniable truth. It's a philosophical and cultural perspective which describes reality, human civilization, and the individual man better than they ever have been before.

Pure liberalism could be explained and defined as: (1) the epistemology of reason, rationality, logic, and science; (2) the ethics of individualism, self-interest, and personal happiness; (3) the politics of liberty, justice, and individual rights; (4) the esthetics of vivacity, dynamism, and heroism; (5) the spirituality of the transcendent, infinite, and sublime. It consists of the best and truest of the four traditional liberal groups: the Greeks, the Romans, the Renaissancers, and the Enlightenment thinkers; as well as the three current, avant-garde, liberal groups: the economic Austrians/Chicagoans, the political libertarians, and the philosophic Objectivists.

Pure liberalism is a general category of philosophy, without being a specific philosophy itself. However, right-wing conservatives, left-wing progressives, Muslims, communists, libertarians, and Objectivists might well think this to be untrue -- especially at first. But purified liberalism actually constitutes a relatively broad tent. On a myriad of fronts it doesn't take specific stands on specific issues.

But certainly the majority of what the philosophical, intellectual, cultural, and civilizational Right and Left says is
manifestly untrue -- and New Liberals properly take note of it. Some of what the cutting-edge libertarians and Austrians/Chicagoans claim is also false. So too even a few of the arguments of the avant-garde Objectivists. The intellectually fearless and radical New Liberals of today are aware of this, and sometimes point it out.

At present, pure liberalism is the most advanced thought-system and vision on the planet. It's miles ahead of its illiberal and semi-liberal rivals. But there are other mostly-liberal philosophies and viewpoints well worth considering, namely: atomism, Aristotelianism, Epicureanism, Stoicism, Cyrenaicism, Enlightenment liberalism, and Objectivism. It's worth noting that this last constitutes by far the most liberal -- and therefore true -- philosophy in existence.

And yet, many intellectual issues are still to be resolved. Ayn Rand -- Objectivism's stunningly brilliant creator -- didn't completely address every issue in existence. And what she did attempt to explain, she didn't always get right. Still, her liberal genius and greatness are hard to phantom.

These brief essays cover the topics of epistemology, metaphysics, ethics, politics, general culture, religion, Islam, general philosophy, and Objectivism. Each short article is independent, and stands on its own. Yet the relationship between all of them is vast, and will become apparent as the reader continues thru them.

I write in a provocative but relatively easy style, so I hope the reader both enjoys and learns from these essays. Liberalism -- and not libertarianism or Objectivism alone -- is the future of mankind. And what a bright, sunlit, exuberant, wonderous, magnificent, noble, heroic future it is!

KYREL ZANTONAVITCH

New York City
March of 2015
Fundamental Reality

The universe is universal. Reality is real. Existence exists.

The universe is real and it exists. Reality exists and is universal. Existence is universal and real.

All parts, subsets, aspects, and characteristics of the universe similarly and equally exist and are real. These parts or slices of the universe may be called or defined as things or phenomena. Any factually accurate or informationally correct equation, comparison, declaration, or proposition regarding these things is the truth. So is any such rule, law, or theorem.

Any literal portrayal or symbolic representation of a real thing or existent or part of the universe which is factually accurate or informationally correct is also the truth. Every universe-based, realistic, and existential part or aspect of the world whatsoever -- every thing everywhere always -- can be defined, described, circumscribed, and captured in a truthful way.

A thing is itself, is equal to itself, is the same as its definition, is all that it is, has, or does, and is the sum of and identical to all of its combined parts, subsets, aspects, and characteristics.

There is no thing or truth above, beyond, outside of, or askew to the universe. Nothing outside of or separate from the universe exists, or is real, or is a true thing. There is only one universe, reality, and existence, and it is complete, perfect, eternal, ubiquitous, contiguous, smoothly integrated, and a unitary whole.

The truth is true. Truth exists and is real. Truth permeates, animates, and constitutes the universe. The universe in all its aspects -- physical and mental, practical and theoretical, concrete and abstract, prosaic and recherché, quotidian and spiritual, personal and
metaphysical, etc. -- personifies, embodies, and is imbued with the truth. The world is truth incarnate.

Truth is the first law of the universe. This law is universal, eternal, ubiquitous, all-important, and omnipotent.

Truth exists and is possible and available everywhere and always. Mother Nature and Father Reality rule the world and are everywhere always -- with clarity and in abundance. Also universally permanently possible and available are the facts, information, and truth about them and the world -- manifest and in an overflowing cornucopia.

Truth is naturally, inherently, intrinsically, and ineluctably objective, impartial, and neutral. It's also naturally precise and complete, absolute and certain, sempiternal and definitive. Truth isn't relative, subjective, a matter of probability, or a product of semantics. Nor is it derived from or subject to individual taste, prejudice, or opinion. Nor is truth contradictory, inconsistent, limited, restricted, partial, multiple, diverse, variable, malleable, alterable, manipulatable, correctable, improvable, degradable, diminishable, wavery, unsteady, dubious, tenuous, chance, random, arbitrary, capricious, particular, peculiar, esoteric, iconoclastic, idiosyncratic, etc.

There is no "your truth," "my truth," or truth based upon emotion, passion, volition, or will. There is only the one true truth -- objective and absolute -- for everyone and everything in the universe, living and dead. The truth is the same, for and to, absolutely all creatures, including amebas, worms, chimpanzees, humans, and far superior extraterrestrials. The truth is also the same, for and to, absolutely all phenomena, including logic, math, physics, chemistry, biology, metaphysics, epistemology, ethics, esthetics, politics, economics, sociology, psychology, being, identity, equality, contradiction, causality, propagation, life, death, tools, weapons, engineering,
mechanics, machines, computers, and ultracomputers that live.

Sensing, perceiving, reasoning about, learning, and knowing the truth is possible. Knowledge of the universe, everything inside it, and the truth about it, is possible and available, everywhere and always.

Knowledge is knowable. Certainty is possible. Absolute certainty is natural, normal, common, and practically everywhere. It's often simple and obvious. It's often unquestionable and ineluctable.

But some knowledge is more certain than the rest. The most certain pieces of knowledge and truth are self-evident. Self-evidence is the necessary and undoubtable, undeniable, undeniable rock and base upon which all knowledge easily, comfortably, confidently rests.

Self-evidence exists. It's possible and available. Many aspects and characteristics of the universe -- many things, phenomena, truths, facts, bits of information, and bits of knowledge inside it -- are clear, unambiguous, sure, certain, definite, definitive, absolutely positive, and self-evident.

The foundation and fundament of self-evidence, tautology, axioms, truisms, identity, equality, and the phenomenon of definitions is completely and utterly rock-solid, dead-level, trustworthy, reliable, faultless, and infallible. On this base and basis, and thru reason -- the thinking, learning, knowing process for all sentient creatures -- all truths and knowledge can, and eventually will, be determined, discovered, revealed, learnt, and known.
Early Epistemology

Reason was gradually but resoundingly discovered and invented about 2600 years ago by the Milesians, Ionians, and Greeks known as Thales, Anaximander, and Anaximenes. They are sometimes rightly known as “the physicists” and “the scientists” because of their emphasis -- in seeking the truth about Nature and man -- on considering the physical and the scientific.

But surprisingly and depressingly, deep thinkers and intellectuals evidently began to seriously doubt and challenge reason within a half a century of its creation. These questioners simultaneously and complimentarily disputed whether reason led to truth and whether the individual should be guided by it. They philosophically wondered: Can we be confident reason yields certain truth? Can we trust reason to direct our sacred and irreplaceable existences? And these serious ponderers largely said No.

The Greek thinkers that came to doubt the value and efficacy of reason, rationality, logic, and science could be called fundamentalist skeptics -- or just Skeptics. Those that were mostly confident or certain about reason, rationality, logic, and science could be called Reasonists. But these last can not properly be called “dogmatists,” as the followers of the atomists, Aristotle, Epicurus, and Zeno the Stoics were often described 300 years later. Such a label constitutes a smear term, clever lie, psychological projection, and personal confession which almost entirely belongs to the Skeptics themselves.

Reasonists investigate the cosmos and speculate about life by first consulting the facts and evidence about the phenomenon in question and then using reason: They set aside emotions, desires, drives, and instincts; and then apply their thinking, searching, inquiring, philosophizing minds to the subject, issue, or problem at hand to try to uncover the truth about it. Skeptics, in contrast, mindlessly, irrationally ignore and dogmatically, irrationally deny the opinions and conclusions of the facts, evidence, logic, and thought. And they let their
thinking become corrupted with emotions, desires, drives, and instincts.

Skeptics fall into two categories: (1) overly emotional and frantic champions of epistemological dogmatism and faith who form their opinions and conclusions based on an arbitrary and blind leap into the epistemic void without consideration of facts, evidence, logic, and thought; and (2) overly analytical and dry advocates of epistemological relativism and subjectivism who form their opinions and conclusions largely flying in the face of facts, evidence, logic, and thought – or even in open, provocative, flamboyant defiance of them.

Both types of reason-traducing, life-destroying, happiness-crushing Skeptics secretly, generally see and know the truth. These irrational and deliberate self-deluders aren't genuinely fooled by their intellectual superiors. They quietly understand the universe, basically. But in public both purposely turn a blind eye toward reality, the cosmos, and the nature of man. One does so by vacuously, blissfully leaping over reality, and partaking of a kind of feverish fantasy; while the other does so by maliciously spitting in the face of reality, and deliberately landing in barren obscurity.
**The Examined Life**

Socrates said: "The unexamined life isn't worth living for a human being." But Aristotle observed: "Our discussion [of ethics] will be adequate if it has as much clearness as the subject matter admits of." Aristotle added that "[I]t is the mark of an educated man to look for precision in each class of things just so far as the nature of the subject admits." This includes the ultra-important subject of how best to live your life.

Not only is the unexamined life not worth living -- the overexamined life isn't worth living either. It results in paralysis by overanalysis. At some point you need to call a halt to your delicate introspection and profound interior reflection. You should just work hard, learn as much as you can, and try to accomplish great things. You need to just live, have as much fun, experience as much greatness, and be as happy as you can be.
The Liberal Ten Commandments

1. Thou shalt work and try hard -- especially mentally.
2. Thou shalt work well and efficiently -- especially mentally.
3. Thou shalt not lie to, delude, or brainwash thy Sacred Self.
4. Thou shalt not hide from nor ignore either the truth or thy Sacred Self.
5. Thou shalt have tons of fun -- always.
6. Thou shalt not lie to others -- even strangers.
7. Thou shalt not commit crimes: neither stealing others' property, nor injuring others physically, nor hurting kids mentally.
8. Thou shalt not defend, support, or appease government tyranny or slavery; rather, thou shalt subvert and undermine it continuously and ruthlessly.
9. Thou shalt generally be empathetic, supportive, and loyal to others -- especially those of high quality, lovers, friends, allies, relatives, kids, and pets.
10. Thou shalt generally make everyone else have tons of fun -- especially those of high virtue, lovers, friends, allies, relatives, kids, and pets.
Best Definition Ever

The price of liberty isn't "eternal vigilance," as Thomas Jefferson reputedly said, but a correct definition. One can never obtain freedom until one knows precisely what freedom is.

Individual liberty is best defined as "The unlimited right to think, say, and do anything, but absolutely anything, that you wish; provided you respect the equal and concomitant right of your fellow man to think, say, and do anything, but absolutely anything, that he wishes. None of these rights compete, conflict, contravene, or contradict; rather, all of them supplement, compliment, coincide, and reinforce."

Freedom for individuals means no initiation of physical force nor use of financial fraud against others. Freedom for government means no taxation or regulation of the economic or socio-personal behavior of individuals.
Democracy vs. Freedom

The world today has replaced the medieval, Christian-dominated, Dark Age theory of "the divine right of kings" with the current, socialism-dominated, New Dark Age theory of "the divine right of the majority." This means embracing and sanctifying mob rule. This means democracy is privileged to crush freedom. This means "the will of the people" is allowed to legitimately rip asunder and trample underfoot the rights of the sacred Individual.

In today's absurd and depraved era, 50%-plus-one can do no wrong. The majority, by definition, can never do or be politically evil. Such a group, by its very nature, can never be tyrannical, and can never institute any form of slavery. They simply know no social immorality or dictatorial activity.

Thus the Italians did nothing wrong in 1924 when they elected Benito Mussolini. The Germans made no mistake in 1933 when they elected Adolf Hitler. And the Americans did nothing in error, inside their ballot boxes, when they chose Franklin Roosevelt and his New Deal in the 1930s, and Lyndon Johnson and his Great Society in the 1960s. Indeed, all the Western states from about 1825 to 1975 -- as they democratically selected an ever-bigger realm for government power, and an ever-smaller realm for individual initiative -- did nothing of an illiberal or anti-libertarian nature. Or at least, this is how conventional wisdom has it.

So long as the vote is fair and free, and the application of the totalitarianism is relatively egalitarian, the popular crowd is perfectly able to stomp upon the life, liberty, property, and privacy of the Individual in any way it wishes. As the Russians might put it, the Bolshevik ("bigger group") has full rights to smash the (lesser) rights of the single person however it may choose. The collective can properly and morally strip away every personal freedom, civil liberty, and private right of the Individual whatsoever.
The only restriction or exception here is that the liberty-smashers have to have the sanction of a legitimate and genuine majority. Then anything goes. No outrage or horror is too much for them. No Big Brother nightmare is too extreme. The holy Bolsheviks are triumphant! Democratic government has successfully obliterated Individual freedom!
A World of Devils -- and Political Perfection

According to "the father of the American Constitution," James Madison, "If men were angels, no government would be necessary." He and the other Founding Fathers furthermore thought that any system of government -- even absolute monarchy and dictatorship -- would be ideal "If angels were to govern men."

Thus one answer to the problem of creating an ideal socio-economic and political system is to change human nature. If not that of citizens, then at least that of government leaders. Find a saintly, super-wise, Platonic "philosopher-king" to rule.

But this is all nonsense. The thinkers behind the American Revolution may have been the greatest political theorists that ever practiced -- but they were still spouting rubbish with all this anguished sighing and moaning about humans not being "angels."

Firstly, human nature was never the problem. Secondly, even if human nature is somehow flawed or problematic, there's virtually nothing anyone can do about it. Thirdly, even if future scientists can eventually, miraculously alter fundamental human nature, it's far from clear that they'll make a significant improvement on it, or that this will prove to be the ideal solution to the problem of social harmony and political system-building.

It seems obvious that the correct answer to the question is to create a system of law and governmental organization which is compatible with human nature as it is, and always has been, and evidently will be for a long time to come. If possible, this social and political system should exploit unchangeable human nature and positively thrive under it.

And the name of this ideal and perfect system is freedom. The foundation of this political and socio-economic utopia is liberty, justice, and individual rights.
The ideal and definitive governmental system is one with no tolerance for the initiation of (physical) force or (financial) fraud by others against the sacred and untouchable Individual, and no tolerance for socio-economic taxation or regulation by government against this same sacred and untouchable Individual.

Under this final and absolute system of government, even if all men were devils, they and their society would flourish. Even if all citizens were converted into Hitlers, Stalins, and Maos, there would still be a type of political and social paradise created. And this would be so even if all the state leaders were changed into utter demons as well. The definitive political system and last word on government would take advantage of human nature and effectively make the citizens and leaders behave in an idealized fashion, both personally and socially.

The whole societal system would discourage and punish -- not just crime and tyranny -- but general immorality and unproductivity. Even peccadillos like discourtesy and tardiness would be dealt with ruthlessly and efficaciously by "the system."

Such is the product of a political system based entirely on individual freedom. Such is the result of liberty and justice for all.

The key and solution to every governmental problem is just one word, standard, principle, concept, and ideal: freedom. James Madison and the Founding Fathers -- with all their lamentations about supposedly faulty and corrupt human nature -- completely missed the political boat. All their desperate yearnings for a "benevolent dictatorship" -- and the converting of humans into "angels" -- couldn't have been more wrong. According to "the father of the American Constitution," James Madison, "If men were angels, no government would be necessary." He and the other Founding Fathers furthermore thought that any system of government -- even absolute monarchy and dictatorship -- would be ideal "If angels were to govern men."
Thus one answer to the problem of creating an ideal socio-economic and political system is to change human nature. If not that of citizens, then at least that of government leaders. Find a saintly, super-wise, Platonic "philosopher-king" to rule.
All-Time Mistakes

The three great intellectual and philosophical errors of all time -- going back 2600 years, to the advent of cultural liberalism -- seem to be: (1) supposing moral and political service to others is more important and valuable than service to yourself; (2) supposing legal and social mercy is more important and valuable than justice; (3) supposing social and economic equality is more important and valuable than political liberty.

Compounding these three blunders is the supposition that these three dialectic and juxtaposed ideals and goals are about the same, or can somehow be combined. It's like science and religion, or reason and faith. They're all drop-dead opposites; and you have to choose.

The three false ideals above all have a kind of plausibility. They're all loose and sloppy social and collectivist desiderata which make a bit of sense -- provided you keep your mind hazy and unfocused, and your logic and arguments messy.

But all three are also prime examples of what gives intellectuals and philosophy a bad name. All these pseudo-lofty aims are ultimately head-in-the-clouds, head-in-the-sand, head-elsewhere gibberish and nonsense.

They're reminiscent of the values and ideals of vegetarianism, environmentalism, pacifism, isolationism, and anarchism. Also of Thoreau's civil disobedience, Ghandi's passive resistance, and King's non-violence. Also of religious charity, tolerance, and forgiveness.

It's easy to see how soft-headed, soft-hearted, ivory tower, pie-in-the-sky philosophers and alleged wise men got such bad reputations. These guys, with their naive and foolish beliefs, are pure menaces to human society. These guys, with their fatuous and depraved ideologies, are pure destroyers of rational, liberal civilization, and individual happiness.
Your Fellow Man

Life is tough for people of decency, humanity, and tolerable quality. Mankind seems to consist of virtually nothing but liars, cowards, hypocrites, morons, ignoramuses, lowlifes, degenerates, weirdos, and subhuman beasts. Basically all verminous space aliens and hateful monsters. What a slimy, bizarre, pathetic, ridiculous, hostile, loathsome planet this is! It isn't easy or fun to get along with, or try to coexist with, these heartlessly savage mutants and ferociously deadly enemies. Such petty, puny, thoughtlessly indifferent -- and yet calculated and deliberate -- destroyers. What dreadful and dreary insects, worms, betrayers, and traitors they are! What merciless killers of themselves, others, life, reason, pleasure, exhilaration, happiness, greatness, and you!
Unions are naturally and inherently tyrannical, collectivist, criminal, corrupt, parasitic organizations. They're based on the theory and Marxist-Leninist ideal of what could be called predatory authoritarian communism for otherwise free-agent labor and naturally liberated workers.

No proper, self-respecting, freedom-loving employee should ever belong to a union at his job. No proper, self-respecting, freedom-loving employer should ever allow a union at his company. Unions destroy efficiency, competitiveness, prosperity, success -- and labor-management harmony. They create a kind of company civil war. Especially in today's post-New Deal, post-Great Society era. Ultimately, unions kill business.

Even employees who think of joining a union, or who sympathize with the concept of unionism, should be summarily and energetically fired. Unionism as a socio-economic ideal is a deadly plague which severely weakens and impoverishes every worker and labor market it contaminates. And contrary to what is is almost universally claimed, unions lead to low wages and poor, dangerous working conditions. Unions are a cancer which severely debilitates or destroys every company they infect.

Death to all unions and unionism!
Horrific Black Leaders

For over half a century American blacks have been lead by Martin Luther King, Malcolm X, Jesse Jackson, Al Sharpton, and Louis Farrakhan. Yet all five are scumbags in general and racists in particular. This includes the two dead ones, who have been hilariously and outrageously apotheosized -- despite their vast sliminess and bigotry.

All five black "leaders" are open demagogues who essentially hate Western civilization, America, white people, Jews, freedom, and capitalism. All champion the massive evil of socialism combined with the stunning injustice of "affirmative action." And all have a personal attitude and philosophy which can probably best be summed up as "hate whitey, kill whitey."

So how did these five would-be tyrants and genocidists ever get to be black leaders in the first place? Is this really the best black America can do in terms of finding a public face and voice? And who remotely thinks these five are legitimate civil rights leaders or champions of genuine peace, harmony, and brotherhood between whites and blacks?

Deep down all seem secretly ashamed to be black. All five evidently hate themselves and "their own kind." And all seem to be drop-dead dedicated to bringing on some version of a race war and civil war, as they go forth to decimate both whites and blacks.

Aside from their demonic love of Big Brother and hard-line institutionalized bigotry, all five personally-repelling Jesus-freaks seem fanatically dedicated to petty self-aggrandizement and a personal lust for power. Their demagoguery and passion for tyranny seems to know no bounds.

So why in hell are these stinking, miserable, god-awful, rat-fink, rat-bastards considered to be black leaders? Can't black Americans find anyone smarter or better or wiser? What does it say about black society that when it comes to leadership: Martin, Malcolm, Jackson, Sharpton, and Farrakhan -- these notorious monsters and racist vermin -- are evidently the best blacks can do?
Men's Sexual Rights

When a normal fertile male and a normal fertile female have sex together, usually that's all they're doing. They're participating in some mutual moments of pleasure, passion, affection, and intimacy. They are generally not trying to make a baby. They are generally not planning to start or expand a family.

This is the "default position" of sex. This is the natural, normal situation. And this is the implicit agreement and implied social compact between them. This contract may be unspoken and unwritten -- but it's very clear and strong. And this mutual agreement features heavy contractual obligations which bind both parties.

Just as women almost entirely control the interpersonal pleasure-making process -- since men are far more eager and far less discriminatory -- so women almost entirely control the baby-making process. But with such life-creating power and control comes grave responsibility. Women may possess, by moral right and Natural Law, close to total freedom in reproduction; but they also have to bear the subsequent and derivative burden of close to total responsibility for any reproduction which does occur. In short, the female of the human species calls almost all the shots in both temporary erotic delights and a lifetime of familial obligations. Her authority is awesome.

Thus in the event of a surprise pregnancy the male -- at least in general terms -- may not morally ask or legally demand that she abort the fetus or carry it to term. She runs and owns the baby-making factory. Therefore it's her choice.

To repeat, if a woman decides to become pregnant and procreate that's basically her business. Because the fetus is a type of biological parasite, which is entirely organically dependent on her physical body, she has total authority to accept or reject this life form. Other than declining to participate in love-making, or share his
potency, the male has nothing to say about this. But this portentous business is also her problem. The fertilizing male can not be held legally and financially responsible for the female's free choice over which she has total power.

As a matter of fact, if a woman has a baby without the permission of the father by right she is guilty of a serious felony. Since the man is likely to know about it, she is deliberately burdening the male with an intense and overwhelming emotional and psychological tie. She is wantonly subjecting him to a lifetime of unchosen personal obligations, especially the first twenty years or so of the unwanted child's life.

For this horrific crime the female should be fined many years of income, and she should go to jail for many years as well. She should also probably forfeit her baby to the government since she seems morally unfit to be a mother, and since it constitutes child abuse to raise a child in jail and without a proper father. It should also be noted that the mother is creating a threat to society with this bastard kid. Needless to say, in this whole dismal business the exploited male owes nothing in parental care and child support payments. The only exception is those social and financial burdens which the defrauded father freely chooses to bear in this unfortunate, unexpected situation.

Indeed, this moral and legal prohibition on female reproduction even applies to live-in partners and married(!) couples. Unless the female receives prior, formal, written, legal permission from the fertilizer and would-be father, she has no right to reproduce via his noble efforts.
Vampires and Gods

The overwhelming likelihood is that not once has anyone ever seen or heard a ghost, witch, vampire, werewolf, troll, leprechaun, or god. There doesn't seem to be a single piece of objective, genuine, verifiable evidence that these creatures even exist.

And even if one of them does exist -- or even if all of them do -- they don't seem to have any power or influence over mankind or the universe. Evidently not once has anyone seen or heard them change or impact this earth or humanity in any way, nor is there a single existing piece of actual or confirmable evidence that they've ever done so.

And even if ghosts, witches, vampires, werewolves, trolls, leprechauns, or gods are real and existent, and even if they do have power and influence over reality, they very likely haven't taught us a single thing about ourselves or life or the world.

Proper humans gain all of their information -- they ascertain all truth -- via rationality and science. There's every reason in the world to suppose that they exist and are real. Their power over ourselves and this life and the earth appears to be absolutely massive. And actual, practical, seeable, hearable, knowable evidence for the existence, effectiveness, and power of rationality and science seems to be infinite.
Monstrous Islam

Islam is an ideology for monsters. It's like Nazism and Communism in its shocking level of theoretical and intellectual evil. Islam harbors a simply stunning level of love for war (jihad) and slavery (sharia).

That's why unless a person is brainwashed into it as a child, or conquered into it as an adult, few, if any, good and decent people freely convert to the philosophy of Islam. Only monsters.

Any normal, humane, tolerable, at least semi-respectable person morally condemns and personally loathes what the belief-system of Islam essentially constitutes and entails, namely: jihad and the jihadis, plus sharia and the shariaists. Protestants, Catholics, Jews, Mormons, Hindus, agnostics, and atheists all openly, publically, loudly, proudly proclaim their hatred and rejection of these philosophical ideals, as well as of all the jihadi/shariaist groups, such as Al Qaida, the Taliban, Hezbollah, Hamas, Fatah, Islamic Jihad, the PLO, Jamaat-e-Islami, Al-Aqsa Martyrs Brigades, the Haqqani network, the Muslim Brotherhood, etc.

But average, typical, mainstream, moderate Muslims don't condemn or loath these utopian goals and groups at all. Rather, almost all Islamics rationalize about their ghastly beliefs, and about the divinely-demanded behavior of mass-murder (jihad) and mass-slavery (sharia) of innocents by Muslim activists to promote "Allah's religion." Almost all Muslims make excuses and offer tortured, none-too-honest rationalizations for this and them.

Rather than rejecting this almost limitless horror -- like everyone else does -- average, normal, mainstream, moderate Muslims morally condemn and personally loath the West for holding all people, including the Islamics, to just one moral and civilizational standard. Islamic-believers despise and revile Western liberals for expecting them to oppose the ultra-evil jihadis and shariaists just as much as do the Protestants, Catholics, Jews, Mormons, Hindus, agnostics, and atheists.

The West might desperately hope for Muslim opposition to such ghastly and subhuman behaviors. But these dreadful fiends proudly and on principle refuse. That's why it can truly be said: Islam is an ideology for monsters.
Defanging and Neutralizing Islam

In a fantastically-dangerous, nuclear bomb-dominated era like ours, where Western Civilization is close to defenseless, the notoriously tyrannical, naturally warlike philosophy of Islam demands attention. In today's world it seems to constitute an objective and overwhelming threat.

This is why every Muslim government on earth should possibly be commanded and compelled to completely and utterly reject the concepts and ideals of jihad (aggressive warfare) and sharia (legal enslavement). If these menacing and terrifying dictatorships refuse -- or in any way hesitate or equivocate -- they should very possibly be summarily attacked, with their ruling structure catastrophically destroyed.

The only real alternative is for these fundamentally loathsome Muslim tyrannies to formally and officially redefine the Muslim term jihad -- "to struggle in the path of god" -- so that it means something like "to struggle in the path of reason and truth." Similarly, the Muslim term sharia -- Islamic law and slavery -- should be redefined to mean something like "full, equal, and limitless liberty, justice, and individual rights."

These are both extremely superior definitions, ideas, and ideals which no-one can legitimately argue with.

Any totalitarian Muslim state -- with their almost ineluctable aggression toward, and enemyship of, the liberal West -- which provocatively and mismeansiously refuses these two simple, imminently doable alternatives should probably be visited with a great deal of pain. All their evil, Islamic power structures should be vigorously set upon, and taken apart, brick-by-brick.

But rather than choosing the usual hideously mistaken Western path of invasion, occupation, "teaching democracy," and "nation-building," the relatively-liberal West should counter-attack this ghastly Muslim threat with a devastating, high-tech, surgical strike of a few days; it should be followed up by an inserted, relatively
small, hunter-killer commando penetration of a few weeks. The top one hundred or so leaders of their evil government, party, military, police, and religion should be mercilessly hunted down and brutally slaughtered.

Afterwards, if these heinous Muslim dictatorships still refuse to comply, the process should be repeated with their new governments until they do.
The God Squad

The ultimate Samuel Huntington-esque "clash of civilizations" isn't between today's highly illiberal West and today's highly illiberal Islamdom. After all, both coincide and agree on everything important: a philosophy of religion, an ethics of self-sacrifice, and a politics of welfare statism. Their nonsensical and malevolent beliefs and ideals almost entirely cohere. Both societies and "civilizations" are immensely irrational and culturally identical in their fundamentals.

The real battle today is between the Skepticist-based West of Pythagoras and Plato and the Certaintyist-based West of Aristotle, Epicurus, and Zeno the Stoic. It's highly revealing that in the 200s BC, when cultural liberalism was at its zenith, virtually everyone who was smart and well-educated referred to the Reasonist philosophies of Aristotle, Epicurus, and Zeno the Stoic as "dogmatic." They were so-described not because these thought-systems and lifestyles claimed to know everything based, more or less, on faith, but because they claimed to know anything at all -- even the truth of the laws of identity and equality -- based on rationality. Our Western liberal world was in profound and overwhelming trouble even then(!).

When you consider it carefully, the current culture clash and battle royale between the West and Islam is surprisingly insipid and close to meaningless. Once the West has triumphed here the real fight will begin. The true enemies of sane, sober, normal, civilized, happiness-seeking, greatness-seeking people today are The Enlightenment and Age of Reason destroyers known as Berkeley, Hume, Kant, and Hegel. That and their two leading edge, direct action, demonic, annihilationist offshoots: Moses and Jesus. Even moderate, Skepticist-based Jews and Christians today are fully capable of besting the worst Islamic 9/11ist maniacs on practically any day of the week.
Enemies of Objectivism

Anyone who isn't willing and able to examine the life and thought of Ayn Rand critically and objectively, with an eye towards factuality and morality, isn't an Objectivist. Anyone who isn't willing and able to correct, improve, expand, and extend Objectivist theory isn't an Objectivist. Anyone who doesn't worship, love, obey, and show strict and utter loyalty to reality and truth above Ayn Rand and Objectivism isn't an Objectivist. People who don't hold these values, and adhere to these standards, are scholastics and enemies of Ayn Rand and Objectivism. People who don't hold these premises and adhere to these ideals are religiosos and cultists -- the destroyers of Ayn Rand and Objectivism.
The West's Stolen Oil

In many ways, the history of the world over the past one hundred years is the history of oil. It's easily the world's most valuable commodity and, one way or the other, plays a prominent role in virtually every war. The post-Industrial Revolution West runs on oil.

What a disaster, then, when Iran got the robbery ball rolling and "nationalized" British oil in 1951 and the only response was a weak British naval blockade coupled with tepid support from America and the West. Over the next two decades Britain, France, Holland, and America lost virtually all of their legitimately-acquired, rightly-owned, and ultra-precious oil property. It was seized by powerless "thugs on camels" and non-descript, Middle Eastern criminals, primitives, and savages.

This was the biggest theft in the history of man. And it has provided trillions of dollars to support and advance raw evil and stunning cultural illiberalism. And via the crucial Western oil workers -- whom the Mid-East Muslims openly mock as "our white slaves" -- the Western states continue to fund tyranny, terror, and horror to the tune of hundreds of billions of dollars a year.

But you wouldn't know it to listen to the proto-liberal libertarians and Objectivists. Very few seem to understand this and virtually none mention it. It is a perfectly shocking act of ignorance, dishonesty, and cowardice on their part.

The reason for the libertarian and Objectivist depravity is obvious. They don't have the heart or the guts to advocate the proper solution to the problem: take back our oil! And the reason the libertarians and Objectivists are so intellectually dishonest and morally cowardly on this issue is, ultimately, they lack the philosophical wherewithal and ideological strength which is necessary -- and which is possessed in abundance by true liberals.

Those libertarian/Objectivist weaklings and losers are doing the best they can do, probably. But the problem is -- that just isn't very good.
Natural Law

Natural Law is the law of the world. It supersedes and voids any and all imperfect and tyrannical man-made laws. The United States' Constitution is not, as it claims, "the law of the land." Rather, a pure law of absolute justice, which is founded upon equal and complete freedom for all, is the true governing law of America and every other country.

Human-created or "positive" law rests upon, and derives all its legitimate authority from, Natural Law. Any applied or terrestrial law which violates real law or Natural Law is necessarily tyrannical, criminal, illegitimate, and non-authoritative.

Natural Law is law in accordance with social physical morality. It's ineluctably based upon the laws of Mother Nature and human nature -- both social and personal. As Cicero observed two thousand years ago: "True law is right reason in agreement with Nature." It is proper and accurate rational thought applied to political science and group governance.

Natural Law creates an intellectual, cultural, and financial zenith, as well as perfect social harmony, and an ideal civilization -- or at least as close to these as flawed humanity can ever achieve.

Natural Law demands and commands that any given state or polity focus entirely on individual rights and complete, utter, individual liberty. The entire orientation of any moral government must be that of personal freedom. The result of this will be universal liberty and political perfection. An unalloyed and successful focus on Natural Law results in a libertarian paradise which is founded upon, as Cicero put it, "an eternal and unchangeable law which is valid for all nations and all times."
(end of excerpt from Pure Liberal Fire by Kyrel Zantonavitch; 20 essays of 99; copyright 2015 and all rights reserved.)